

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/648,806	08/28/2000	Oh-sang Kwon	Q60491	7294	
25315 7	7590 07/16/2003				
BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
816 SECOND AVE. SEATTLE, WA 98104			BARNIE, RE	BARNIE, REXFORD N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2643		
		•	DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Application No. 09/648,806

Applicant(s)

KWON

# Office Action Summary

Examiner

**REXFORD BARNIE** 

Art Unit 2643



	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period f	for Reply	
THE N	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
<ul> <li>If the p</li> <li>If NO p</li> <li>Failure</li> <li>Any re</li> </ul>	g date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th  period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a  to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th  ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the  l patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status 1) ⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug 28, 2</u>	2000 .
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-5	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) 1-3 and 5	
	Claim(s) 4	
_		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
	ntion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	
11)□		is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	fiority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	•
	1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.
;	2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the</li> </ol>	
14) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
·	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	* / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	Vourne
Attachm		PRIMARY EXAMINER
1) 🔀 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 💢 Info	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)5	6) Other:

Art Unit: 2643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1- 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP (0 519 498 A2, cited by applicant) in view of Lockwood (US Pat# 5,532,700) or (US Pat# 5,251,186) or Clark (US Pat# 6,142,942).

Regarding claim 1, EP '498 A2 teaches an echo canceler communication system comprising of means in (see figs) which can be used in reducing echo including filters such as adaptive FIR and IIR filters but fails to use the word specifically "beamformer".

•

Application/Control Number: 09/648,806 Page 3

Art Unit: 2643

Lockwood teaches echo cancellation system wherein an adaptive beamformer can be used in conjunction with other filtering means to reduce echo in (see disclosure).

Clark teaches a noise reduction system wherein a beamformer can be used in conjunction with an adaptive filter for noise reduction in (see figs. And disclosure).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of either Lockwood or Clark into that of EP' 498 thus making it possible to reduce echo or any noise.

Regarding claim 2, see the explanation as set forth in claim 1 in addition to the (figs. Of EP including fig. 3).

Regarding claim 3, .see the explanation as set forth regarding claims 1-2.

Regarding claim 5, The combination including Lockwood teaches a weight factor and so forth.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to REXFORD BARNIE whose telephone number is (703) 306-2744. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 6:OOp:m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can

Art Unit: 2643

be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to (703) 872-9314 and labeled accordingly (Please label "PROPOSED/INFORMAL" or "FORMAL").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Rexford Barnie Patent Examiner 07/08/2003

REXFORD BARNIE
PRIMARY EXAMINER